

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

gu/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/790,148                       | 03/02/2004  | Duane William Zugel  | 82505YY 3026            |                  |
| 7590 06/09/2005                  |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| Harold L. Novick                 |             |                      | SMITH, RICHARD A        |                  |
| NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC 6th Floor |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 1030 15th Street, N.W.           |             |                      | 2859                    |                  |
| Washington, DC 20005             |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,   |   |   |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
|   |   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |
|   |   | 10/790,148  | ZUGEL ET AL.   |  |  |
| Office Action Su  | immary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |
|   |   | R. Alexander Smith  | 2859   |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of<br>Period for Reply   | this communication app  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF THI - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If the period for reply specified above is - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extend  | S COMMUNICATION. der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a reply e, the maximum statutory period w ed period for reply will, by statute, tan three months after the mailing | 'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH( 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to commur  | nication(s) filed on <u>30 Ma</u>   | arch 2005 and 15 February 2005  | į.   |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.   | 2b)⊠ This   | action is non-final.  |  |  |  |
| •—  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.             |   |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 20-37</u> is  4a) Of the above claim(  5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-37</u> is/are a  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejecte  7) □ Claim(s) is/are constant is/are of the constant is/are | s) is/are withdrav<br>llowed.<br>ed.<br>objected to.  | vn from consideration.  | ,  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not reques Replacement drawing she  | is/are: a) acce<br>t that any objection to the<br>eet(s) including the correct  | r. epted or b)  objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob aminer. Note the attached Office  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is ma  a) All b) Some * c)  1. Certified copies  2. Certified copies  3. Copies of the ce  application from  | ☐ None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents rtified copies of the prior the International Bureau   | s have been received in Applicat<br>rity documents have been receiv   | ion No<br>ed in this National Stage  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Patent Draftsper No(s)/Mail Date 20050215.  | awing Review (PTO-948)<br>s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal (6) Other:  |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1 and 33-37 are objected to because of the following informalities. Appropriate correction is required.
  - Claim 1: A semi-colon should be inserted after "face" in line 4.
  - Claim 33: The copyright symbol in line 6 should be replaced by --c--.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. 2,727,314 to Dossie et al.

Dossie et al. discloses a spirit level comprising an elongate body having a level face for setting a surface; at least one bubble vial (12) mounted in a central portion of said body (column 1, lines 39-43), said bubble vial having a longitudinal axis generally parallel to said

Art Unit: 2859

level face (in this case, the axis of 12 is parallel to the level face of 17 of figure 3); at least a second bubble vial mounted at an end portion of said body (figures 1 and 2; column 1, lines 43-53), said bubble vial having a longitudinal axis generally perpendicular to said level face (the axis of 26 is perpendicular to level face 17); and an optical transfer element (24') fixed to said body which transfers an image of said second bubble vial to a viewing plane generally parallel to said level face.

# **Double Patenting**

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 15 of U.S. 6,748,666 to Zugel et al. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following:

Claim 1 discloses limitations already disclosed in claim 15 of '666 except that claim 1 discloses an optical transfer element and claim 15 of '666 discloses a reflective surface. Claim 1 is not patentably distinct from claim 15 of '666 since a reflective surface is a particular type of optical transfer element.

# Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's response filed February 15, 2005 requesting reexamination of the preamended claims filed March 2, 2004 has been considered.
- 7. Applicant's arguments filed March 30, 2005 with respect to pre-amended claim 1 has been fully considered but is not persuasive.
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed March 30, 2005 with respect to claim 20-37 has been fully considered.

Applicant refers to an affidavit or declaration filed in the parent application. Affidavits or declarations, such as those submitted under 37 CFR 1.131 and 37 CFR 1.132, filed during the

Application/Control Number: 10/790,148 Page 5

Art Unit: 2859

prosecution of the parent application do not automatically become a part of the application.

the remarks of record in the later application and include a copy of the original affidavit or

Where it is desired to rely on an earlier filed affidavit or declaration, the applicant should make

declaration filed in the parent application.

In this case, a copy of the original affidavit or declaration needs to be filed.

The argument with respect to the secondary considerations, i.e., the response with arguments, exhibits, and the declaration filed under Rule 1.132, is persuasive and a statement regarding the secondary considerations will be included in the reasons for allowance.

## Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 20-37 are allowable.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited in PTO-892 and not mentioned above disclose related transfer elements and levels.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,148 Page 6

Art Unit: 2859

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. Alexander Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-2251. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F. Gutierrez can be reached on 571-272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. Alexander Smith Primary Examiner

Technology Center 2800

RAS June 7, 2005